

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

In re T.C., a Person Coming Under the
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

T.C.,

Defendant and Appellant.

E065534

(Super.Ct.No. J263876)

OPINION

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. Barbara A.

Buchholz, Judge. Affirmed.

Elizabeth K. Horowitz, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant
and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

A juvenile wardship petition was filed against defendant and appellant T.C. (minor) pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602. The petition alleged that minor was loitering to commit prostitution. (Pen. Code, § 653.22, subd. (a), count 1.) She pled no contest and admitted that the allegation in count 1 was true. Trial counsel concurred in the admission, and the parties stipulated to a factual basis. A juvenile court found the allegation true, declared the offense to be a misdemeanor, and sustained the petition. The matter was transferred to San Bernardino County, where minor resided, for disposition. The Juvenile Court of San Bernardino County considered the probation officer's disposition report. It then declared minor a ward, placed her in the custody of the probation officer, and maintained her in juvenile hall while awaiting a suitable facility for placement.

Minor filed a timely notice of appeal. We affirm.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND¹

On October 23, 2015, police officers were dispatched after receiving information regarding a person brandishing a firearm. Officers found the suspect walking along the street with minor. The suspect led the officers on a lengthy foot pursuit before being apprehended. Meanwhile, other officers contacted minor, who was observed to be in an area frequented by prostitutes. She was 15 years old. The officers noted that she was wearing a "very form fitting short dress." Minor initially denied being at the location for

¹ The factual background is taken from the probation officer's report.

purposes of prostitution, but after being transported to the police station, she admitted that she was there to work as a prostitute. Minor told the police that the man they had apprehended had agreed to accompany her “to serve as a backup.” She had agreed to give him 20 percent of her earnings.

DISCUSSION

Minor appealed and, upon her request, this court appointed counsel to represent her. Counsel has filed a brief under the authority of *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 and *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, setting forth a statement of the case and a few potential arguable issues: (1) whether the court erred in accepting trial counsel’s stipulation to a factual basis without setting forth a factual basis on the record; (2) whether the court abused its discretion in ordering minor placed; and (3) whether the court erred in ordering placement, given the probation officer’s testimony at the disposition hearing that she did not consider placement with family members. Counsel has also requested this court to undertake a review of the entire record.

We offered minor an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, which she has not done.

Pursuant to the mandate of *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, we have conducted an independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

HOLLENHORST
J.

We concur:

RAMIREZ
P. J.

CODRINGTON
J.